UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

ALISHA WILKES,

Plaintiff, : CASE NO.

v. : 1:10-CV-01160CMH-TRJ

GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, ET AL.,

Defendants.

McLean, Virginia

Monday, June 6, 2011

Deposition of:

#### EVAN HENDRICKS

called for oral examination by counsel for Defandants, pursuant to notice, at the offices of Troutman

Sanders, 1660 International Drive, Suite 600, McLean,

Virginia, before Constance H. Rhodes, of Capital

Reporting Company, a Notary Public in and for the

Commonwealth of Virginia, commencing at 9:49 a.m.,

when were present on behalf of the respective parties:

EXHIBIT E

1

1	APPEARANCES	2
2	On behalf of Plaintiff:	
3	JOHN C. BAZAZ, ESQUIRE	:
4	Law Offices of John C. Bazaz, PLC	
5	4000 Legato Road	
6	Suite 1100	
7	Fairfax, Virginia 22033	
8	(703) 272-8455	
9		
10	LEONARD A. BENNETT, ESQUIRE (Via Telephone)	
11	Consumer Litigation Associates	
12	12515 Warwick Boulevard	
13	Newport News, Virginia 23606	ļ
14	(757) 930-3662	İ
15		
16	On behalf of Defendant GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC:	
17	ETHAN OSTROFF, ESQUIRE	
18	Troutman Sanders, LLP	
19	222 Central Park Avenue	:
20	Suite 2000	
21	Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462	
22	(757) 687-7451	

177

- 1 Q And you agree that the only violations of
- 2 the FCRA that are being claimed in this case by the
- 3 plaintiff against GMAC derive solely from GMAC's
- 4 handling of the ACDVs, correct?
- 5 A Or for violations of the Fair Credit
- 6 Reporting Act.
- 7 Q Right. And so you agree that the only
- 8 violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act that are
- 9 being claimed in this case by the plaintiff against
- 10 GMAC arise solely from GMAC's handling of the ACDVs,
- 11 right?
- 12 A I mean I have to answer by saying that,
- 13 yeah, the violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act
- 14 were a failure to conduct a reasonable investigation.
- 15 Q So you agree that no potential Fair Credit
- 16 Reporting Act violation occurred in this case until
- 17 GMAC responded to the ACDVs in March of 2010, correct?
- 18 A Right. For -- my understanding of how the
- 19 FCRA works and how courts have interpreted it is that,
- 20 yes, it would only be from that dispute on -- the
- 21 dispute to the credit reporting agencies on.
- 22 Q And you're aware that GMAC only received

178

- 1 ACDVs from the consumer reporting agencies in March of
- 2 2010, right?
- 3 A That's my understanding, yes.
- 4 Q And you agree that the plaintiff does not
- 5 have a private right of action under the FCRA until
- 6 she made a dispute to the CRAs in March 2010, right?
- 7 A That's -- that's my understanding of the
- 8 traditional interpretation of the FCRA, yes.
- 9 Q So do you agree that there are no other
- 10 potential FCRA violations other than the ACDV
- 11 responses by GMAC in this case?
- 12 A Yes. I think those are the main issue under
- 13 the FCRA.
- 14 Q Do you think there are any other potential
- 15 violations by GMAC in this case?
- 16 A Yes. There could be state law violations
- 17 preceding March of 2010.
- 18 Q Sure. Just specifically with the FCRA.
- 19 A I think those are the main ones.
- 21 GMAC to delete the tradeline for this account after
- 22 receiving the state court's final order that declared

```
208
              Previously, we were discussing the GMAC
 1
    Mortgage account that appears on the document that's
    600100 and part of Exhibit 15, right?
         Α
              Yes.
 4
              That's a mortgage account that begins with
 5
    account number
 6
                      , right?
 7
         Α
              Yes.
              And the account that's the subject of this
 8
         0
    dispute in this complaint by Ms. Wilkes is an account
10
    that begins with the numbers
                                         right?
11
         Α
              That's correct.
12
              And that's reflected, for instance, in
         0
    Exhibit 11, right?
13
14
         Α
              Right.
15
         Q
              And if you look through Exhibit 15, the GMAC
    Mortgage account with account number
16
                                                  no longer
17
    appears in the plaintiff's credit report; is that
18
   right?
19
         Α
              That's right.
20
         Q
              And that no longer appears at least as early
21
   as September 13th of 2010, right.
22
         Α
              Correct.
```